

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,817	06/16/2004	John H. Magerlein	FIS9-2000-0412-US2	3965	
7590 07/11/2006 William H. Steinberg			EXAMINER		
			THOMAS, TONIAE M		
IBM Corporatio	n	•			
IP Law Dept. IQ0A Bldg. 040-3 1701 North Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2822		
Endicott, NY 13760			DATE MAILED: 07/11/200	DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				/ /			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	tet			
		10/771,817	MAGERLEIN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Toniae M. Thomas	2822				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DY SILVEN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 M</u>	ay 2006.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖾	Claim(s) 14-19 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 3-11 is/are rejected.						
7)🛛	Claim(s) 2,12 and 13 is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	·	•			
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 February 2004</u> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	d to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No				
* 5	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ''	od.				
	and and and addition of a list of	or the certified copies not receive	· u.				
Attachmen		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔯 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 02/03/04.		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/771,817 Page 2

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-19, in the reply filed on 27

 December 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not traverse the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claim 20 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
- 2. Applicant's traversal of the election of species filed on 02 May 2006 is persuasive. Accordingly, the election of species mailed on 07 April 2006 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Han (US 6,593,184 B2).

Han discloses a method for fabricating a semiconductor device (see figs. 2A-2E and accompanying text). The method comprises the steps of: starting with a wafer 40 (fig. 2B); forming a first interconnection structure 45 over a

first surface of the wafer (fig. 2B); forming a temporary bond between the first wafer 40 and a second wafer 31, which can be considered a wafer holder, with the wafer holder being a rigid structure (fig. 2C); thinning the wafer 40 to a desired thickness (fig. 2D and col. 3, lines 54-60); forming via holes 47 which extend through the thinned wafer with the VSTV holes having bases and substantially vertical sidewalls (fig. 2D and col. 3, lines 60-65); and forming metallization 48 in the via holes with the metallization extending through the thinned wafer (fig. 2E and col. 4, lines 1-5).

A dielectric layer 46 is formed over the thinned wafer prior to forming via holes 47 (fig. 2D and col. 3, lines 60-65).

Han does not teach forming a protective overcoat layer over the interconnection structure 45. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to form a protective overcoat layer over the interconnection structure 45 because the protective overcoat would protect the interconnection structure during the bonding process.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2, 12, and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art of record does not anticipate, teach or suggest bonding the metallization 48 to pads of a carrier.

Art Unit: 2822

5. Claims 14-19 are allowable over the prior art of record. The prior art of record does not anticipate, teach or suggest forming solder connectors, as recited in claim 14.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toniae M. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-1846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zandra Smith can be reached on (571) 272-2429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TMT 10 June 2006

> Mary Wilczewski Primary Examiner

and community and a second